

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,762	06/25/2001	Yonina C. Eldar	0492611-0395(MIT 9170)	9398
	7590 07/03/2007 LL & STEWART LLP	EXAMINER		
Two Internation			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
Boston,, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•			47				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/888,762	ELDAR ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Kevin M. Burd	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
-	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 after SDC (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the melling date of this communition (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Statı	us							
1	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2006.							
	2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp	oosition of Claims			:				
4	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6.8-30 and 32-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	6)⊠ .Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-30,32-48</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8	B)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Appl	lication Papers							
9	P) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	* *				
11	1) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-19	52.				
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,							
Attaci	hment(s)							
-	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	rmal Patent Application					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔛 Other:						

Art Unit: 2611

1. This office action, in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and the amendment filed 11/15/2006, is a non-final office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-30 and 32-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 12 and 35 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. The amended limitations to claims 1 and 27 are the same as recited in claims 12 and 35.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, 12, 14-27, 35 and 37-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottomley et al (US 6,801,565) in view Marchetto et al (US 5,513,215).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 12, 27 and 35, Bottomley discloses a receiver shown in figure 4 comprising a bank or plurality of correlators 414a-414I and a method of using the correlators described in column 6, lines 22-39. The receiver receives a composite signal r(t) from the communication medium (column 6, lines 22-31). Figure 3 shows a plurality of users and their corresponding spreading and scrambling signals. These signals make up the transmitted composite signal. The spreading code is user specific and the scrambling signal is group specific. These signals comprise the set of signature signals. The composite signal transmitted from the transmitter of figure 3 will undergo distortion in the communication medium prior to being received by the receiver of figure 4. The bank of correlators outputs signals to a correlation shaper comprising elements 420a, 420b and 450, which shapes the signal by combining the correlator outputs and compensating for channel distortion (column 6, line 40 to column 7, line 5). The bank of correlators outputs a matrix or vector of correlation values that indicate the level of correlation to each of the desired spreading sequences s₄ (column 6, lines 31-39).

Art Unit: 2611

Bottomley does not disclose the correlation shaper is chosen so that a covariance matrix of an output vector of the correlation shaper has the property that the second and subsequent rows are permutations of the first row. Marchetto discloses a receiver that correlates received signals (column 19, lines 1-28). The covariance matrix of the output vector is shown in column 18, lines 7-19. Each row of the covariance matrix is a permutation of the other rows of the matrix. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Marchetto into the receiver of Bottomley. Marchetto allows the channel to be modeled for predetermined conditions or worst case conditions and the processed data is able to compensated for channel characteristics that would create errors in the recovered data (column 19, lines 1-40 and abstract).

Regarding claims 14-25 and 37-48, Bottomley discloses using orthogonal spreading codes to spread the transmitted signals (column 9, lines 56-58). Therefore, orthogonal spreading codes will be used in the correlators of the receiver (figure 4). Least Mean Squares (LMS) techniques are used to remove errors from the decorrelated signals (column 14, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 26, the output of the correlation shaper is fed to downstream elements that detect and forward or process the received signal.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottomley et al (US 6,801,565) in view Marchetto et al (US 5,513,215) further in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art (specifically paragraph 0004).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Bottomley and Marchetto discloses the apparatus and method described in paragraph 5. The combination does not disclose the correlators are a matched filter receiver. However, the instant application's disclosed prior art discloses, in paragraph 0004, CDMA receivers use matched filter receivers that try to mitigate the effect of multiple signature signal interference and background noise. For this reason, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the matched filter receiver of the instant application's prior art in the bank of correlators of the combination of Bottomley and Marchetto.

7. Claims 4-6, 8-11, 27-30, 32-34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottomley et al (US 6,801,565) in view of Marchetto et al (US 5,513,215) further in view of Huang et al (US 6,067,292).

Regarding claims 4-6, 8-11, 13, 28-30, 32-34 and 36, the combination of Bottomley and Marchetto discloses the apparatus and method described in paragraph 5. The combination does not disclose shaping the correlation by minimizing the mean square error. Huang discloses in column 20, lines 14-17, the output of the processed received signal is processed again to minimize the mean square error of the demodulated CDMA signal. This minimizing of the mean square error takes place in a "correlation shaper". It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Huang into the apparatus and method of the combination of Bottomley and Marchetto. By minimizing the mean

Art Unit: 2611

squared error, performance of the receiver and the interference cancellation system can be improved (column 14, lines 46-54).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin M. Burd 1/8/2007 KEVIN BURD